ABSTRACT

An unmet need exists for effective treatments for patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) who continue to experience exacerbations despite receiving standard-of-care treatments. Current advances for COPD are based on an evolving understanding of the molecular mechanisms of increased airway inflammation in stable-state COPD and during acute exacerbations. This review examines the current understanding of the underlying pathophysiology of COPD, discusses clinical trials of novel biologic treatments for COPD, and provides an overview of potential new targets for development of innovative therapies and biomarkers that may be used to identify appropriate patients for these novel treatments. The most promising biologic treatments at an advanced stage of development for COPD are agents targeting eosinophilia, either indirectly through anti-interleukin-5 (IL-5) or directly though anti–IL-5Rα (IL-5 receptor alpha) mechanisms. Targeting proteins involved in response to viral infection, such as IL-33, offers further potential for future advances in the development of biologics for COPD. (BRN Rev. 2018;4:34-52)
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INTRODUCTION

The defining characteristics of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) are peripheral airway inflammation and destruction of the lung parenchyma (emphysema), leading to airflow limitation. However, the concept of precisely what constitutes COPD is evolving based on our increased understanding of its pathophysiology and clinical characteristics, which can vary in presence and severity between patients. It has become increasingly clear that COPD is a complex (having several components with non-linear dynamic interactions) and heterogeneous (not all these components are present in all patients or at all time points) condition; and with asthma, COPD is perhaps part of a continuum of different diseases that may share biological mechanisms. Although existing therapies for COPD can improve symptoms and prevent exacerbations, an unmet need exists for effective treatments for patients who continue to experience exacerbations despite receiving current standard-of-care treatments.

An increased understanding of the underlying pathophysiology of severe asthma has led to treatment advances, including the introduction of novel biologic therapies for the treatment of severe asthma with eosinophilic airway inflammation. Similarly, current advances in treatment for COPD are based on an evolving understanding of the molecular mechanisms of increased airway inflammation in both stable state COPD and during acute exacerbations. However, in addition to disease characteristics that vary between individual patients, treatment for COPD is further complicated by the substantial comorbidity burden of this patient population. More than 90% of patients with COPD report having one or more comorbidities, and approximately 50% report having four or more. Common comorbidities include hypertension and other cardiac diseases, metabolism disorders, diabetes mellitus, osteoporosis, muscle wasting, cancer, and depression. These comorbidities can directly influence each other. For example, there is evidence that inflammation associated with COPD increases the risk of developing heart disease and lung cancer. Therefore, the management of patients with COPD requires an integrated comprehensive care approach. A comprehensive review of all aspects of COPD management is not the purpose of this review. Here, we focus on the current understanding of the underlying pathophysiology of COPD and provide an overview of clinical trials of novel biologic treatments for COPD. We also review potential new targets for the development of innovative therapies and biomarkers that may be used to identify appropriate patients for these novel treatments.

CHARACTERISTICS OF COPD INFLAMMATION

COPD is caused by cigarette smoking and inhalation of other noxious particles, such as biomass fuel and chemical fumes. Repeated airway exposure to toxic particles may result in progressive airflow limitation. Observed pathological processes include remodelling and narrowing of small airways and destruction of the lung parenchyma. These processes are most likely related to a chronic inflammatory response to toxic particles in the distal lung, comprising elements of the innate and adaptive immune systems. An increased burden of oxidants in the lungs, caused
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by the release of reactive oxygen species from inflammatory cells in response to inhaled toxic particles, also likely contributes to the development of COPD.\textsuperscript{13}

The innate inflammatory immune system provides the primary protection for the lower respiratory system against inhaled toxic particles. Elements of the innate immune system include mucociliary clearance, tight junctions, circulating receptor molecules, and phagocytic cells.\textsuperscript{12,14} A key physical change induced by the toxic particles in cigarette smoke is impaired elimination of pathogens caused by the shortening of cilia, which reduces the mobility of mucus produced by goblet cells.\textsuperscript{15} Smoking also causes hyperplasia of mucus-producing goblet cells, and metaplasia of basal cells and squamous epithelial cells.\textsuperscript{14,15} Cigarette smoke is associated with the loss of airway epithelial tight junctions, which normally form an impermeable barrier protecting the respiratory tract from pathogens or harmful particles.\textsuperscript{8,11,12,15} The number of neutrophils and macrophages in the lower airways is also increased for patients with COPD,\textsuperscript{7} and the phagocytosis of apoptotic cells by macrophages is impaired.\textsuperscript{14}

Amplified innate immunity can alter the adaptive immune response through several mechanisms; for example, innate immune cytokines

---

*Figure 1.* Summary of features of the innate and adaptive immune systems involved in COPD (reproduced with permission from Hogg HC et al.\textsuperscript{12} Annual Review of Pathology: Mechanisms of Disease, Volume 4 © 2009 by Annual Reviews, http://www.annualreviews.org). COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; DC: dendritic cells; NK: natural killer.
can influence the development of certain lymphocyte subsets, triggering cell- and antibody-mediated chronic inflammation, which are elements of the adaptive immune system (Table 1, Fig. 2)\textsuperscript{11}.

The activation of the adaptive immune response in COPD is evident by the increased number of CD8+ cells in COPD lung tissue and an increased number of lymphoid follicles\textsuperscript{16}, which are more frequent with increasing disease severity\textsuperscript{12,17}. Dendritic cells form a key link between the innate and adaptive immune response by presenting antigens to uncommitted T cells, leading to the expansion of B cells and the production of antibodies against the presented antigen\textsuperscript{12}. However, the nature of the antigens that drive the immune response in COPD is not well characterized. Autoimmune mechanisms and antigens from infectious and noninfectious particles could all possibly be involved\textsuperscript{12}. Results of studies reporting the presence of autoantibodies in patients with COPD suggest that carbonyl-modified proteins produced by oxidative stress could promote antibody production, providing a link between oxidative stress and the autoimmune response in COPD\textsuperscript{14}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Immune cell</th>
<th>Innate or adaptive</th>
<th>Observed presence in COPD</th>
<th>Role(s) associated with COPD disease characteristics and lung inflammation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Macrophage</td>
<td>Innate</td>
<td>Number increased in the lungs of patients with COPD\textsuperscript{14}</td>
<td>Promotes secretion of proinflammatory cytokines (e.g., TNF, LTB4, IL-8)\textsuperscript{14} Airway macrophages have impaired ability for phagocytosis of apoptotic cells, resulting in decreased clearance and persistent antigenic stimuli and inflammation\textsuperscript{14}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophil</td>
<td>Innate</td>
<td>Found in large numbers in the sputum and BAL fluid of patients with COPD\textsuperscript{14} Neutrophil counts in induced sputum consistently correlate with severity of airflow obstruction\textsuperscript{19}</td>
<td>Produces proteases and reactive oxygen species\textsuperscript{14}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophil</td>
<td>Innate</td>
<td>Elevated concentrations (&gt; 3%) found in sputum of a subset of patients with COPD\textsuperscript{19} Tissue biopsies taken during acute exacerbations show a 30-fold increase in eosinophil concentrations compared with stable COPD\textsuperscript{19} Numbers are increased in sputum during exacerbations and eosinophilia is associated with increased risk of exacerbations\textsuperscript{16,18}</td>
<td>Release ECP and EPO, which are toxic to bronchial epithelial cells, and cytokines, which promote inflammation\textsuperscript{19}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD8+ T cell</td>
<td>Adaptive</td>
<td>Increased in the airways and parenchyma of patients with COPD, numbers correlate with the severity of airflow obstruction\textsuperscript{14,19}</td>
<td>Induces apoptosis and necrosis of airway epithelial and endothelial cells, via release of perforin, granzyme and TNF\textsuperscript{18}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4+ T cell</td>
<td>Adaptive</td>
<td>Found in large numbers in the airways and lung parenchyma in patients with COPD\textsuperscript{12}</td>
<td>Mediates, via Th\textsubscript{1} response, the chemotaxis of innate (macrophages, neutrophils, and eosinophils) and adaptive cells (T and B cells)\textsuperscript{14}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NK lymphocytes</td>
<td>Adaptive</td>
<td>Functionality is observed to be diminished for patients with COPD\textsuperscript{15}</td>
<td>Diminished functionality results in greater risk of viral infection and associated exacerbations\textsuperscript{15}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{11} BAL: bronchoalveolar lavage; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ECP: eosinophil cationic protein; EPO: eosinophil peroxidase; IL: interleukin; LTB4: leukotriene B4; NK: natural killer; Th\textsubscript{1}: Type 1 helper cell; TNF: tumour necrosis factor.
Increased numbers of macrophages, neutrophils, T and B lymphocytes, and dendritic cells are observed in the lower airways of patients with COPD\textsuperscript{7,8,14}. However, the predominant inflammatory cell type varies with disease severity, with increased numbers of neutrophils and B lymphocytes present in more severe cases\textsuperscript{11,12,18}. Furthermore, although eosinophilic inflammation, which is predominantly driven by T-helper 2 cytokine-producing cells, is more often associated with asthma, sputum evaluation identified that a subset of patients with COPD also have eosinophilic inflammation\textsuperscript{19}.

**COPD EXACERBATIONS**

COPD exacerbations are characterized by increased airway inflammation, increased mucus production, and marked gas trapping, and they can significantly accelerate lung function.
decline of patients with COPD\textsuperscript{20}. Triggers for COPD exacerbations include bacterial or viral infections and exposure to environmental pollutants, but the underlying mechanisms have yet to be fully characterized\textsuperscript{21}. The treatment goals for COPD exacerbations are minimizing the impact of the current exacerbation and reducing subsequent exacerbation risk\textsuperscript{20}.

Airway exposure to viruses, bacteria, and air pollutants is associated with a risk of COPD exacerbations because these irritants can cause an acute inflammatory response in the airway, which is already in a chronic inflammatory state\textsuperscript{11,20}. The elements associated with this acute inflammatory response offer potential targets for therapeutic intervention.

Sputum neutrophil, lymphocyte, and eosinophil counts increase during COPD exacerbations, accompanied by an increase in sputum concentrations of leukotriene B\(_4\) and interleukin-8 (IL-8)\textsuperscript{22}. A cluster analysis has categorized four biologic exacerbation clusters based on sputum measurements: bacterial-predominant, eosinophil-predominant, viral-predominant, and pauci-inflammatory (limited changes in inflammatory profile)\textsuperscript{23}. In this analysis, bacterial- and eosinophil-associated exacerbations rarely coexisted, suggesting fundamental differences in the immunopathogenesis of these exacerbations. Furthermore, for patients with repeated exacerbations, bacterial- or sputum eosinophil-predominant exacerbations could be predicted from the nature of stable disease, suggesting that they are caused by disease instability, whereas viral exacerbations were more likely to be caused by a new pathogen\textsuperscript{23}. Other studies have found that an increase in sputum neutrophil count is associated with severe COPD exacerbations initiated by either bacteria or viruses, although an increase in sputum eosinophil count is associated only with virus-induced exacerbations\textsuperscript{24}.

Increased CD8\(^+\) T lymphocytes with a reduction in the ratio of interferon-\(\gamma\)- to IL-4-expressing CD8\(^+\) T lymphocytes is also observed during COPD exacerbations, indicating a possible switch toward a type 2-like immunophenotype that could in turn initiate eosinophil recruitment\textsuperscript{25}. A greater sputum concentration of eosinophils is associated with a greater risk of exacerbations for patients with COPD\textsuperscript{23,26}.

### TARGETS FOR COPD PHARMACOTHERAPY

#### Neutrophilic inflammation

Neutrophils are increased in stable state COPD and increase further in some COPD exacerbations, particularly those induced by bacteria\textsuperscript{11,22,24}. Molecules associated with neutrophilic inflammation in COPD that could potentially serve as biomarkers of neutrophilic disease, as well as potential therapeutic targets, include IL-1, IL-6, IL-8, IL-17, IL-23, CXC chemokine receptor 2 (CXCR2), tumour necrosis factor (TNF), granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), and proline-glycine-proline (PGP) (Table 2)\textsuperscript{19,27-31}.

#### Eosinophilic inflammation

Although COPD has traditionally been viewed as a neutrophil-driven disease, a subgroup
### Table 2. Key cytokines and inflammatory markers associated with COPD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytokine/marker</th>
<th>Pharmacotherapy target(s) associated with</th>
<th>Observed presence/role in COPD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL-1 (β)</td>
<td>Neutrophilic inflammation</td>
<td>Increased concentrations of IL-1β reported in serum, sputum and BAL of patients with COPD(^ {28}) Amplifies inflammation(^ {16})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-3</td>
<td>Eosinophilic inflammation</td>
<td>Key cytokine for basophil survival(^ {47}), also promotes maturation of eosinophils(^ {5})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-5/IL-5Rα</td>
<td>Eosinophilic inflammation</td>
<td>Sputum concentrations of IL-5 correlate with the degree of eosinophilia and response to glucocorticoids for patients with stable COPD(^ {28}) Soluble IL-5Rα is increased during virus-induced COPD exacerbations(^ {28})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>Neutrophilic inflammation</td>
<td>Plasma and sputum concentrations are increased in patients with stable COPD compared with controls(^ {28}) May contribute to the pathogenesis of the autoimmune response in the lungs of patients with severe stable COPD(^ {28}) Amplifies inflammation(^ {16})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-8</td>
<td>Neutrophilic inflammation</td>
<td>Chemotactic for neutrophils and monocytes(^ {46}) Concentrations increased in sputum and BAL of patients with COPD(^ {16})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-13</td>
<td>Eosinophilic inflammation Lung destruction – emphysema</td>
<td>Driver of type 2 inflammation produced by Th(_2) cells and ILC2(^ {25}) Mediates mucus hypersecretion, subepithelial fibrosis, and airway hyperresponsiveness(^ {5}) Induces chemokines that results in eosinophil recruitment and retention in inflamed airway tissue(^ {5})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-17A (alternative name IL-17)</td>
<td>Neutrophilic inflammation Bacterial colonization – innate immune response</td>
<td>Induces the production of mucus in goblet cells(^ {15}) Promotes activation of bronchial fibroblasts, epithelial cells, smooth muscle cells, that produce other proinflammatory cytokines that subsequently cause the recruitment of neutrophils and their infiltration into tissues(^ {15}) Promotes inflammation by coordinating granulopoiesis and neutrophil mobilization(^ {15}) Induces the expression of IL-6, TNF, GM-CSF, CXCL1, CXCL8 in epithelial, vascular fibroblast, neutrophil and eosinophil cells(^ {15})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-18</td>
<td>Lung destruction – emphysema</td>
<td>Pro-inflammatory cytokine(^ {16}) Increased concentrations in the plasma and sputum of patients with COPD(^ {16}) Contributes to vascular destruction via IL-18-mediated alveolar endothelial apoptosis(^ {24})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-22</td>
<td>Bacterial colonization – innate immune response</td>
<td>Induces expression of G-CSF(^ {15}) Maintains the integrity of the epithelium by limiting cellular apoptosis and favouring regeneration processes(^ {15}) Serum and sputum concentrations are significantly increased in the sputum of stable COPD patients compared with those of nonsmoking controls(^ {28})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-23</td>
<td>Neutrophilic inflammation Bacterial colonization – innate immune response</td>
<td>Linked to autoimmune inflammation(^ {58}) Induces elastase-induced airway inflammation and emphysematous changes in the lung(^ {38})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-25</td>
<td>Eosinophilic inflammation</td>
<td>Released by airway epithelial cells in response to toxic particles(^ {5}) Induces eosinophilic inflammation via both ILC2 and Th(_2) pathway(^ {5})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-33</td>
<td>Eosinophilic inflammation</td>
<td>Upregulated by cigarette smoke and released in response to viral infection(^ {45}) Drives Th(_2) cell-like inflammatory response to virus infection and potentially plays a critical role in pathogen-induced exacerbations of COPD(^ {40})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCR2</td>
<td>Neutrophilic inflammation</td>
<td>Chemokine receptor found on alveolar macrophages, Th(_1) cells, and neutrophils(^ {11})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM-CSF</td>
<td>Neutrophilic inflammation Eosinophilic inflammation</td>
<td>Maintains neutrophilic inflammation(^ {14}) Involved in induction of eosinophil inflammation and prolonging eosinophil survival in tissues(^ {15}), shares a common receptor with the beta chain for IL-5 receptor and IL-3 receptor(^ {48})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNE</td>
<td>Neutrophilic inflammation Lung destruction – emphysema</td>
<td>Has elastolytic and pro-inflammatory effects and increases mucus secretion(^ {16})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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of patients with COPD have increased lung and blood eosinophils \cite{32,33}, which is associated with lung tissue remodelling and increased expression of IL-5 \cite{19,27,28}. Eosinophils are also increased in certain subtypes of COPD exacerbations \cite{19,33}, and minimizing eosinophilic airway inflammation for patients with COPD was shown to reduce the rate of severe exacerbations by 62% \cite{34}. Elevated blood eosinophils are associated with a > 3-fold increase in readmission rate for patients with severe COPD \cite{35,36}.

As previously stated, in COPD, both the adaptive and innate immune response may lead to eosinophilic inflammation. The cytokines IL-33, IL-25, and thymic stromal lymphopoietin are produced by epithelial cells that have been exposed to pollutants. In turn, these cytokines initiate an adaptive immune response via dendritic cells that stimulate naïve T cells to differentiate into Th2 cells, which produce IL-5, IL-13, and IL-14 \cite{5}. An innate immune response potentially occurs via stimulation of type 2 innate lymphoid cells, which also produce large quantities of type 2 cytokines, such as IL-5 and IL-13, but not IL-4 \cite{5}. Targeting eosinophilic inflammation is a promising strategy for reducing exacerbation risk for patients with COPD. Molecular targets for the reduction of eosinophils include IL-5/IL-5 receptor alpha (IL-5Rα), IL-13/IL-4 receptor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytokine/marker</th>
<th>Pharmacotherapy target(s) associated with</th>
<th>Observed presence/role in COPD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MMP9</td>
<td>Lung destruction – emphysema</td>
<td>Has elastolytic and pro-inflammatory effects \cite{16} For patients with COPD, release is increased from alveolar macrophages, and increased expression is observed in lung parenchyma, sputum, and BAL \cite{18}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGP</td>
<td>Neutrophil inflammation</td>
<td>Stimulates CXCR1/2, which are associated with IL-8, and potentially perpetuates neutrophilic inflammation \cite{31}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAGE</td>
<td>Lung destruction – emphysema</td>
<td>RAGE ligands are increased in patients with COPD and correlate with disease airflow limitation \cite{42} Plasma concentrations of soluble-RAGE are lower in patients with COPD compared with healthy controls and asthma patients, and concentrations are associated with the presence of emphysema progression \cite{43}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGFβ</td>
<td>Lung destruction – emphysema</td>
<td>Stimulates fibrosis and involved in regulatory T cell function \cite{16} Increased expression in lung and bronchial biopsy samples of patients with COPD \cite{16}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF</td>
<td>Neutrophil inflammation</td>
<td>Amplifies inflammation \cite{16} Concentrations increased in the sputum and serum of patients with COPD \cite{16}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSLP</td>
<td>Eosinophilic inflammation</td>
<td>Expression increased in airway smooth-muscle cells after exposure to cigarette smoke; acts as a mediator between airway smooth-muscle and mast cells \cite{28} Implicated in the induction of glucocorticoid resistance in Th cells during airway inflammation by controlling the phosphorylation of STAT5 \cite{28}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF-κB</td>
<td>Bacterial colonization – innate immune response</td>
<td>Increases the activity of inflammatory genes and inhibits the activity of endogenous antiproteases \cite{18} Activated in macrophages and epithelial cells of patients with COPD \cite{16}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BAL: bronchoalveolar lavage; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CXCR2: CXC chemokine receptor 2; GM-CSF: granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor; HNE: human neutrophil elastase; IL: interleukin; IL-5Rα, IL-5: receptor alpha; ILC2, type 2 innate lymphoid cells; LTB4: leukotriene B4; MMP9: matrix metalloproteinase 9; NF-κB: nuclear factor kappa B; NK: natural killer; PGP: proline-glycine-proline; RAGE: receptor for advanced glycation end products; STAT5: signal transducer and activator of transcription 5; TGFβ: transforming growth factor beta; TNF: tumour necrosis factor; TSLP: thymic stromal lymphopoietin.
alpha (IL-4Rα), chemoattractant receptor–homologous molecules, IL-3, IL-25, IL-33, GM-CSF, and thymic stromal lymphopoietin (Table 2)\(^{19,28,37}\).

**Bacterial colonization and the innate immune response**

Some studies found that the lung microbiome differs for patients with COPD compared with controls, possibly a result of smoking-induced microbiota changes\(^{38}\). Furthermore, there is overgrowth of pathogenic bacteria colonizing the lower airways in some patients with COPD\(^{38}\). An inverse relationship was observed for patients with stable COPD between airway bacterial load and sputum eosinophils, suggesting that bacterial infection influences the inflammatory profile and may contribute to neutrophilia and insensitivity to corticosteroids in many patients with COPD\(^{39}\).

IL-17, IL-22, IL-23, and nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB) have been identified as being associated with bacterial colonization of the lower airways and offer potential therapeutic targets in the management of COPD (Table 2)\(^{16,28,40}\).

**Lung destruction - emphysema**

Destruction of the lung parenchyma is caused by inflammatory cells releasing proteases\(^{41}\). These proteases include leukocyte elastase, proteinase 3, matrix metalloproteinases, cysteine proteinases, and plasminogen activators, and they are predominantly produced by macrophages, neutrophils, eosinophils and basophils\(^{41}\).

IL-18, IL-13, cysteine protease, elastases, and matrix metalloproteinase 9 have been associated with emphysema in COPD and are potential targets for therapeutic intervention (Table 2)\(^{6,27,42}\). receptor for advanced glycation end products (RAGE) and its soluble form have also been identified as a therapeutic target and biomarker, respectively, for emphysema\(^{43}\).

Autoimmune responses have also been implicated in COPD-associated emphysema, and identification of specific autoantibodies associated with emphysema offers potential novel therapeutic targets (e.g., anti–glucose-regulated protein 78 and anti-elastin)\(^{44}\).

**CLINICAL TRIALS OF NOVEL BIOLOGIC THERAPIES IN COPD**

Table 3 summarizes the completed Phase II/III clinical trials with published results that have investigated novel biologic therapies in COPD patients; these studies are discussed in more detail below.

**Anti–IL-1**

IL-1 is associated with neutrophilic inflammation in COPD, where it has a role in the amplification of inflammation\(^{16}\). Two investigational biologics targeting IL-1 were evaluated for patients with COPD. The human immunoglobulin G (IgG) kappa monoclonal antibody canakinumab binds to IL-1β, preventing interaction of IL-1β with IL-1 receptor (IL-1R)\(^{45}\). In a Phase I/II interventional study of 147 patients with COPD (NCT00581945), patients were randomized to receive either canakinumab (n = 74; initial intravenous infusion 1 mg/kg, followed by 3 mg/kg 2 weeks later and then 6 mg/kg every 4 weeks until study
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug (patient population)</th>
<th>Drug class</th>
<th>NCT number</th>
<th>Phase (n)</th>
<th>Publication year</th>
<th>Endpoint results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABX-IL8&lt;sup&gt;54&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Anti–IL-8</td>
<td>NCT0035828</td>
<td>II (119)</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Primary: TDI total score differences between ABX-IL8 and placebo were 0.8, 1.0, 0.8, and 0.3 at week 2 (p = 0.046) and months 1 to 3, respectively. Secondary: No statistically significant differences between groups in health status, lung function, 6MWD, or use of rescue medication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benralizumab&lt;sup&gt;53&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Anti–IL-5R&lt;sub&gt;α&lt;/sub&gt; with ADCC</td>
<td>NCT01227278</td>
<td>IIa (101)</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Primary: Annualized rate of acute exacerbations of COPD: benralizumab 0.95, placebo 0.92 (no significant difference). Secondary: Significant increase in pre-bronchodilator FEV&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt; versus placebo (0.13 L versus –0.06 L; p = 0.014); no significant differences between groups in change from baseline for mean SGRQ-C, CRQ-SAS, BODE scores; no difference in treatment-emergent adverse events between treatment groups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNTO 6785&lt;sup&gt;55&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Anti–IL-17A</td>
<td>NCT01966549</td>
<td>II (187)</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Primary: Difference in change from baseline in pre-bronchodilator percent-predicted FEV&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt; between CNTO 6785 and placebo patients was −0.49%; p = 0.599. Secondary: No statistically significant differences in exacerbation rate, use of rescue medication, SGRQ-C or E-RS™ scores were observed between groups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canakinumab&lt;sup&gt;56&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Anti–IL-1β</td>
<td>NCT00581945</td>
<td>I/II (147)</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Primary: No significant change from baseline in FEV&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;, FVC, SVC or forced expiratory flow 25–75% for patients receiving canakinumab compared with placebo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etanercept&lt;sup&gt;60&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>TNFi</td>
<td>NCT00789997</td>
<td>II/III (81)</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Primary: Absolute change in FEV&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt; from baseline to 14 days was 0.1391 and 0.1641 for etanercept- and prednisone-treated patients, respectively (p = 0.75); mean between-group treatment difference was 0.024 l (p = 0.75); mean change in FEV&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt; from baseline was 15.2% and 20% for etanercept and prednisone groups, respectively. Secondary: No statistically different differences were observed between treatment groups in change from baseline in FEV&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt; at any time point, treatment failure up to 90 days, improvements in TDI or CRQ scores.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infliximab&lt;sup&gt;57&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>TNFi</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>II (14)</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Primary: Percentage of sputum neutrophils, change from baseline to week 8 of +0.2 for infliximab and -0.3 for placebo (not statistically significantly different). Secondary: No statistically significant differences between treatment groups in respiratory symptoms, HRQOL, lung function, safety, or tolerability; nonsignificant trend toward improvement in 6MWD test with infliximab.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infliximab&lt;sup&gt;58&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>TNFi</td>
<td>NCT0056264</td>
<td>III (234)</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Primary: Change from baseline at week 24 in CRQ total; no significant change over placebo. Secondary: Pre-bronchodilator FEV&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;, 6MWD, SF-36 physical score, TDI, moderate to severe exacerbation rate; no significant differences observed between treatment groups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MED18986 (AMG108)&lt;sup&gt;46&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Anti–IL-1α</td>
<td>NCT01448850</td>
<td>II (324)</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Primary: Annualized rate of moderate/severe acute exacerbations of COPD&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; was 0.71 versus 0.78 for MED18986 and placebo, respectively (8% reduction associated with MED18986; not statistically significant). Secondary: No significant difference between treatments in rate of severe acute exacerbations; no significant differences between treatment groups in change from baseline in SGRQ-C total score or symptom domain scores.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The primary objective was the impact on pulmonary function compared with placebo. No statistically significant changes from baseline in forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁) or other lung function measurements were observed with canakinumab compared with placebo treatment⁴⁵.

MED18968 (AMG108) is a fully human monoclonal antibody that selectively binds to IL-1 receptor 1 (IL-1RI)⁴⁶. MED18968 was evaluated for the treatment of patients with symptomatic moderate to severe COPD in a Phase II, multicentre, parallel group, randomized placebo controlled trial (RCT; NCT01448850). COPD patients with a history of ≥2 exacerbations in the previous year were randomized to 600-mg intravenous dose on day 1 (loading dose), followed by 300 mg subcutaneous (two 150-mg injections) every 4 weeks (Q4W) for a total of 14 doses (MED18968, n = 160; placebo, n = 164)⁴⁶. The primary endpoint was a reduction in the annualized rate of moderate to severe COPD exacerbations⁴⁴. MED18968 was well-tolerated but had no effect on the rate of moderate or severe exacerbations or health-related quality of life (HRQOL). MED18968 treatment was, however, associated with a statistically significant reduction in blood neutrophil count, serum C-reactive protein (CRP) and fibrinogen concentration, compared with placebo⁴⁶.

### Anti-IL-5/IL-5Rα

IL-5 is associated with eosinophilic inflammation in COPD, and soluble IL-5Rα is elevated during virus-induced COPD exacerbations²⁸. Two biologic treatments targeting the IL-5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug (patient population)</th>
<th>Drug class</th>
<th>NCT number</th>
<th>Phase (n)</th>
<th>Publication year</th>
<th>Endpoint results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Mepolizumab⁴⁹             | Anti–IL-5  | NCT02105948 (METREX) | III (837) | 2017           | Primary: Significantly reduced the annual exacerbation rate vs. placebo for patients with eosinophilic phenotype⁶ (1.40 versus 1.71; n = 462; p = 0.04); difference was not significant in the overall population  
Secondary: Mepolizumab associated with a significant reduction in time to first moderate/severe exacerbation in the eosinophilic population (192 versus 141 days; p = 0.04); no statistically significant differences in any other endpoints between groups |
| Mepolizumab⁴⁹             | Anti–IL-5  | NCT02105961 (METREO) | III (674) | Primary: Rate ratios for exacerbations were 0.80 (p = 0.07) and 0.86 (p = 0.14) versus placebo for 100-mg and 300-mg dosages of mepolizumab, respectively  
Secondary: No statistical significance in any endpoints versus placebo in either group |
ligand, mepolizumab and benralizumab, were investigated for patients with COPD. Mepolizumab is a humanized, IgG1, anti-IL-5 monoclonal antibody that binds IL-5 to prevent IL-5–associated signalling. Mepolizumab is approved for the treatment of severe, eosinophilic asthma and was also evaluated for patients with eosinophilic COPD in two key clinical trials that focused on exacerbation prevention.

The Mepolizumab vs. Placebo as Add-on Treatment for Frequently Exacerbating COPD Patients Characterized by Eosinophil Level trial (METREO) Phase III study (NCT02105961) evaluated two dosages of mepolizumab (100 mg and 300 mg, every 4 weeks) versus placebo (n = 674) for 62 weeks for patients with ≥ 2 exacerbations or ≥ 1 severe exacerbations in the previous year and an eosinophilic phenotype (≥ 150 cells/µL at screening or ≥ 300 cells/µL during the previous year). The exacerbation rate ratios in the 100-mg and 300-mg mepolizumab groups compared with placebo were 0.80 and 0.86, neither reaching statistical significance (p = 0.07 and p = 0.14, respectively). No secondary endpoints in this trial were observed to be significantly different between treatments.

A prespecified post-hoc meta-analysis of the eosinophilic patient populations (≥ 300 cells/µL at screening or during the previous year) from the combined METREX and METREO trials found that the rate of moderate or severe exacerbations was 23% lower for patients treated with mepolizumab 100 mg compared with placebo recipients (rate ratio, 0.77). In both trials, no significant differences in adverse events were observed. Similarly, a meta-analysis evaluating exacerbation rate reduction of glucocorticoids (alone or in addition to antibiotics) or antibiotics alone was conducted. Although the meta-analysis demonstrated greater treatment effects with mepolizumab versus placebo with increasing screening blood eosinophil counts for exacerbations treated with glucocorticoids, these effects were not observed for patients treated with antibiotics. For these patients, the point estimate tended to favour placebo across all eosinophil strata. It is unclear whether this effect relates to the selected patient population and the type of exacerbations patients experienced, or whether it suggests that breakthrough exacerbations during treatment with an anti–IL-5 biologic require systemic steroid treatment.

Benralizumab is a humanized, afucosylated, anti–IL-5Rα monoclonal antibody that prevents...
IL-5 signalling by binding to the IL-5Rα cell surface receptor and rapidly and directly depletes sputum and blood eosinophils and basophils via enhanced antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity. Benralizumab is efficacious for the treatment of patients with severe, eosinophilic asthma, and indicated for the add-on maintenance treatment of patients with severe asthma aged 12 years and older and with an eosinophilic phenotype. Benralizumab was evaluated in a Phase IIa, multicentre, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study (52 weeks) of 101 patients with moderate to severe COPD (NCT01227278). Inclusion criteria included ≥ 1 moderate or severe exacerbation in the previous year and a sputum eosinophil count ≥ 3% in the previous year or at screening. Benralizumab treatment (n = 51) had no effect on the primary endpoint of exacerbation rates versus placebo (n = 50), but was associated with significant improvements in pre-bronchodilator FEV1 compared with placebo (0.13 L versus −0.06 L; p = 0.014) as early as week 4. A prespecified subanalysis indicated a 31% reduction in exacerbations with benralizumab versus placebo treatment for patients with baseline blood eosinophils ≥ 200 cells/μL. Patients with blood eosinophils ≥ 200 cells/μL also exhibited significant improvement in FEV1 (p = 0.035), whereas patients with lower eosinophil counts did not. Benralizumab depleted blood and sputum eosinophils by weeks 4 and 8, respectively.

Two ongoing Phase III studies are evaluating benralizumab for patients with eosinophilic COPD (NCT02138916 and NCT02155660). Although mepolizumab and benralizumab have different mechanisms of action, they seem to share blood eosinophils as a biomarker, as evidenced by a greater magnitude of the effect on exacerbations with increasing blood eosinophil counts. As noted, mepolizumab reduces eosinophils, while benralizumab depletes them. Potential differences in the outcomes of mepolizumab and benralizumab clinical trials may be caused by differences in the pharmacologic characteristics of the drugs or in the respective trial populations.

**Anti–IL–8**

IL-8 is associated with neutrophilic inflammation in COPD, where it acts as a chemotactic for neutrophils and monocytes. ABX-IL8 is a fully human monoclonal IgG2 antibody directed against IL-8, thereby potentially targeting neutrophil activation. ABX-IL8 was evaluated in a Phase II RCT versus placebo over a 3-month period for patients with stable COPD aged > 50 years (n = 119; NCT00035828). Despite small improvements in the primary endpoint of transitional dyspnoea index (TDI), anti–IL–8 treatment was not associated with significant differences versus placebo in lung function, health status, or 6-minute walking distance (6MWD).

**Anti–IL–17**

IL-17 is associated with neutrophilic inflammation and bacterial colonization in COPD. It is involved with mucus production and stimulation of other cells to produce pro-inflammatory cytokines to implement neutrophil recruitment. CNTO 6785 is a fully human IgG1 lambda monoclonal antibody that binds to IL-17A, targeting the IL-17 induced production of pro-inflammatory cytokines.
CNTO 6785 was evaluated in a Phase II RCT versus placebo for patients with moderate to severe symptomatic COPD at risk for exacerbation (inclusion criteria included ≥ 2 exacerbations requiring antibiotics and/or systemic corticosteroids in the previous 2 years; n = 187; NCT01966549). Treatment consisted of CNTO 6785 6 mg/kg or placebo for 12 weeks, and continued up to week 24. No difference was observed in the primary endpoint (change from baseline in pre-bronchodilator percent-predicted FEV<sub>1</sub> versus placebo [p = 0.599])<sup>55</sup>. No treatment differences were observed for any secondary endpoints, including exacerbation rate and patient-reported outcomes.<sup>55</sup>

**TNF antagonists**

TNF is associated with neutrophilic inflammation in COPD, acting to amplify inflammation<sup>16</sup>. An increase in systemic TNF observed in some patients with COPD has also been implicated in skeletal muscle wasting, which occurs in some patients with more severe disease<sup>56</sup>. Evaluations of TNF antagonists for patients with COPD have reported conflicting results. Infliximab, a chimeric monoclonal antibody that binds to soluble and membrane-bound TNF, was evaluated in a Phase II, single-centre, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study (n = 22; 8 weeks) for patients with mild to moderate COPD<sup>57</sup>. No statistically significant differences were observed between treatment groups for percentage change of sputum neutrophils from baseline (primary endpoint p > 0.5), lung function, concentration of IL-8, or HRQOL<sup>52</sup>. The study investigators suggested that the non-severe COPD patient population could have contributed to this lack of efficacy<sup>57</sup>. A subsequent Phase III, dosage-finding RCT, again in patients with mild to moderate COPD, compared 3 mg/kg infliximab or 5 mg/kg infliximab with placebo (n = 234; NCT00056264)<sup>58</sup>. Infliximab failed to demonstrate a benefit over placebo in the chronic respiratory questionnaire (CRQ) total score at week 24 (primary endpoint) at either dosage evaluated or in any of the secondary endpoints evaluated (FEV<sub>1</sub>, 6MWD, TDI, and exacerbation rate)<sup>58</sup>. In a large observational study of 15,771 patients with rheumatoid arthritis and COPD evaluating infliximab and etanercept, etanercept was associated with a reduction in the risk of COPD-related hospitalization (relative risk: 0.49), but no risk reduction was observed with infliximab<sup>59</sup>. A subsequent Phase II/III RCT evaluated etanercept versus oral prednisone for patients with an acute COPD exacerbation presenting to emergency departments (n = 81; NCT00789997). Patients were randomized to receive prednisone 40 mg orally for 10 days or subcutaneous etanercept 50 mg on days 1 and 7; all patients received antibiotics, an inhaled long-acting β<sub>2</sub>-agonist and an inhaled long-acting anticholinergic bronchodilator<sup>60</sup>. No difference was observed in the primary endpoint of change from baseline to Day 14 in FEV<sub>1</sub> (p = 0.75). Evaluations at Day 14 or 90 failed to show differences in dyspnoea or CRQ. Treatment during an exacerbation was limited to two doses of etanercept and may have impacted outcomes<sup>60</sup>. A recent retrospective study evaluated patients with COPD and underlying autoimmune conditions (n = 40,687) who had received anti-TNF therapy<sup>29</sup>. TNF-alpha antagonist monotherapy (adalimumab, certolizumab, etanercept, infliximab, or golimumab) had a
comparable rate of hospitalizations for COPD exacerbations as nonbiologic disease-modifying agents (methotrexate, minocycline, sulfasalazine, hydroxychloroquine, leflunomide, cyclosporine, azathioprine, or gold sodium thiomalate). However, a TNF antagonist and nonbiologic disease-modifying agent in combination was associated with a 32% reduction in COPD-related hospitalization/emergency department visits compared with nonbiologic disease-modifying agents alone29.

In addition to the conflicting efficacy findings reported with anti-TNF therapy for patients with COPD, these trials suggest some potential safety concerns. TNF-antagonist therapy was associated with a statistically nonsignificant increase in clinically diagnosed pneumonia and newly diagnosed malignancies56. These malignancies were predominantly of the respiratory tract, suggesting that TNF-antagonist therapy may accelerate the growth of pre-existing cancers in this smoking population at high risk for respiratory cancer.

**FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

COPD is a complex condition associated with multiple abnormalities in cell biology. It is recognized that a diverse range of mechanisms are likely to contribute to the individual patient’s clinical manifestation of the disease61. The heterogeneity between patients in the clinical presentation of COPD underscores that the underlying mechanisms must vary greatly between individuals. An endotype is a subgroup of patients defined by a biologic mechanism2. The clinical identification of an endotype requires the development of biomarkers related to the mechanism. Given the heterogeneity and complexity of COPD, the development of biologic treatments for COPD requires a biomarker-driven approach to identify the patients most appropriate for treatment and optimize the benefit versus risk profile7. Until now, the development of biologic treatments in COPD have relied excessively on establishing inflammatory parallels between diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis and asthma and COPD, which may have led to failed approaches57,58. The paucity of experimental models and precise target validation in a complex entity such as COPD has hampered the advance of biologics in COPD. These aspects are critical to future success.

We recognize that COPD have pronounced systemic effects. Whether these effects are related to a common inflammatory cascade or they are the result of the presence comorbidities is unclear. Irrespective of their origins, systemic manifestations of COPD such as skeletal muscle weakness and atrophy could represent future targets for biologics. The paucity of data regarding a potential inflammatory state, which could be the result of a “spillover” of local inflammation in the lungs or a systemic inflammatory effect affecting multiple organ systems62 limits the development of biologics in this area at this time.

Biologics have a discrete mechanism of action directed against defined pathological mechanisms. While this is a potential advantage of biologics, in terms of target specificity, over conventional treatments, the complexity of COPD means that specificity to one disease mechanism may limit effectiveness. The challenge is to develop biomarkers that would predict efficacy e.g., using blood eosinophils to predict
responsiveness to anti–IL-5/anti–IL-5Rα treatment for patients at increased risk of future exacerbations. Although there are conflicting data on whether blood eosinophils predict COPD clinical outcomes such as exacerbations, there is accumulating evidence from retrospective and prospective studies that blood eosinophils can be used as a biomarker to predict inhaled corticosteroid effects. The results of the anti–IL-5/IL-5Rα clinical trials also indicate the potential for this biomarker to predict drug effects of biologic therapies that specifically target eosinophils.

Potential COPD targets for the development of novel biologic therapies include reduction in bacterial colonization, prevention of emphysema, and reduction of eosinophilic inflammation. Bacterial colonization leads to an amplified innate immune response. Disengaging the innate immune response and the microbiome is difficult, and a challenge for the development of biologics that aim to target innate immunity alone. The targeting of elastases, which are associated with the disruption of lung tissue, could potentially reduce progressive emphysema. However, this may be problematic because of the different protease mechanisms involved, meaning that targeting a single protease may be insufficient.

Some potential targets identified and being investigated for biologic therapy in COPD include C-type lectin receptor (CLEC5A), autoantibodies, and IL-33. CLEC5A is expressed on alveolar macrophages in mice exposed long-term to cigarette smoke and is required for the development of inflammation and proinflammatory cytokine expression. The autoantibodies to anti–glucose-regulated protein 78 are associated with emphysema. IL-33 is a type 2 cytokine that is upregulated by cigarette smoke, released in response to viral infection, and associated with driving Th17 cell–like inflammatory response to viral infection. Expression of IL-33 correlates with disease severity, and it is also thought to play a critical role in pathogen-induced exacerbations of COPD. Therefore, blocking its activity has the potential to act on several aspects of COPD. Perhaps the most exciting aspect of this treatment is the potential to attenuate excessive inflammation during viral infections, which are known to be a key cause of more severe and prolonged exacerbations.

Further characterization of the molecular pathology of COPD is likely to lead to identification of novel therapeutic targets. However, this approach needs to be married to the development of biomarkers to identify patients with abnormal expression of these mechanisms (endotypes). The future approach for biologic treatments must use clinical characteristics (e.g., risk of exacerbations) plus biomarkers to guide patient selection. A potential barrier to the introduction of biologics for the treatment of patients with COPD includes access to treatment. Treatment with biologics will require patient management to change from being directed largely by primary care physicians to being guided by specialist respiratory physicians.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Historically, biologic therapies in COPD have been developed to target components of the innate immune response, such as CXCL8 and TNF. The failure of this strategy has led to an alternative approach in which monoclonal
antibodies initially developed for asthma (anti–IL5/IL-5Rα) have been studied for COPD. However, these agents will be effective only in a subset of patients with COPD with eosinophilic inflammation. Biologic treatments in preclinical or early clinical development are currently focusing on mechanisms involved in exacerbations.

A key hurdle to the development of biologics in COPD is the difficulty of developing effective therapies targeting the innate immune system because of its complex relationship with the lung microbiome. Furthermore, the substantial burden of comorbidities in COPD patients can impede the ability of any one treatment to improve overall symptoms and health-related quality of life (HRQOL). Currently, the most promising biologic treatments at an advanced stage of development for COPD are agents targeting eosinophilia, either via anti–IL-5 or anti-IL-5Rα mechanisms. However, these agents will only be effective in a subset of patients with COPD with eosinophilic inflammation. In recent years, there has been increased focus on targeting proteins involved in the immune response to viral infection, such as anti–IL-33. There are inherent risks in such an approach, such as susceptibility to severe infection. Although research over the next 5 years is likely to focus on anti-eosinophil treatment for COPD, we speculate that approaches to target exacerbation mechanisms such as anti–IL-33 treatment could also hold great potential. The development of biologics in COPD is unlikely to be a smooth path. However, the value of biologics is increased if we adopt a precision medicine approach focusing on endotypes, subjacent pathophysiology and concurrent development of biomarkers.
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